

Public Notice of Probation as of December 5, 2013

The following institutions are currently on Probation as directed by the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges (ACCSC). Institutions that appear on a previous list but not here have had the Probation Order vacated. A summary of the reasons for Probation for each institution follows this list. The specific accreditation standards cited in this document are available for review by downloading the *Standards of Accreditation* from ACCSC’s website.¹

PROBATION ORDER ISSUED				
School	School #	City, State	Origination Date	Next Review
Arkansas Beauty College	MS 070721	Russellville, AR	12/4/2013	May 2014
Fortis College	MS 000648	Centerville, OH	12/4/2013	May 2014
Pelican Flight Training	MS 070278	Pembroke Pines, FL	5/10/2013	Accreditation Revoked†
Prism Career Institute	MS 009027	Upper Darby, PA	9/7/2012	February 2014
Professional Massage Training Center	MS 070395	Springfield, MO	12/7/2011	Subject to Court Action
Upper Limit Aviation	MS 072183	Salt Lake City, UT	9/5/2013	February 2014

† See the Commission’s Public Notice of Revocation Actions. The revocation of accreditation is an appealable action and the school remains on Probation until the final disposition of the school’s appeal of the Commission’s adverse accreditation decision.

What Does Probation Mean?

In cases where the Commission has reason to believe that a school is not in compliance with accreditation standards and other requirements, the Commission may place a school on Probation. A school subject to a Probation Order will be required to demonstrate corrective action and compliance with accrediting standards.

The Commission may require a school to submit Commission-directed reports and receive on-site evaluation teams in conjunction with a Probation Order. Failure to demonstrate compliance with accrediting standards or other accrediting requirements by the end of the probationary period may result in the school being removed from the accredited list.

When a Probation Order is directed, a written notice is sent promptly to the school. The notice:

- States fully the reasons why the Probation Order was issued;
- Identifies the standard and other accreditation requirements with which the school may not be in compliance;

¹ <http://www.accsc.org/Accreditation/Standards-of-Accreditation.aspx>

- Explains the reasons and cite the evidence indicating that the school may not be in compliance with accreditation requirements; and
- Advises the school of its obligations under the Probation Order and the deadline for response.

ACCSC's *Rules of Process and Procedure* require a school subject to a Probation Order to inform current and prospective students that the school has been placed on Probation and that additional information regarding that action can be obtained from the Commission's website². A summary of the Probation Order is made public via the Commission's website in accordance with *Section VII (L)(7), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation*.

² <http://accsc.org/Content/CommissionActions/SchoolsonProbation.asp>

ACCSC DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION ACTION
SUMMARY OF GROUNDS FOR PROBATION ACTIONS
ORIGINATION DATE: DECEMBER 4, 2013

ARKANSAS BEAUTY COLLEGE
109 NORTH COMMERCE
RUSSELLVILLE, ARKANSAS 72801
School #M070721

Arkansas Beauty College located in Russellville, Arkansas has been placed on Probation by the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges for the reasons summarized below.

Summary of Grounds for Probation:

1. Arkansas Beauty College must demonstrate compliance with accrediting standards with regard to student achievement rates and support those reported rates with verifiable information (*Section VII (B)(1)(b), Appendix VII, Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
2. Arkansas Beauty College must demonstrate that the school conducts Program Advisory Committee meetings in accordance with the requirements set forth in *Section II (A)(5), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*.
3. Arkansas Beauty College must demonstrate that the school's Learning Resource System includes all materials required to support a student's educational experience and to enhance the school's educational programs (*Section II (A)(6)(a), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
4. Arkansas Beauty College must demonstrate institutional assessment and improvement goal setting, benchmarking, and implementation activities appropriate to the size and scale of the school's operations in the areas of management; fiscal condition and budget; administrative policies and practices; student support services; faculty and staff development; educational program curricula; learning resources system, equipment, and supporting materials; facilities; and student achievement outcomes (*Section I (B)(2), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
5. Arkansas Beauty College must demonstrate that the school remains attentive to students' educational and other needs (*Section VI Statement of Purpose, Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
6. Arkansas Beauty College must demonstrate that the school possesses sufficient managerial and administrative capacity to lead and manage a post-secondary educational institution (*Section I (A)(1), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).

ACCSC DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION ACTION
SUMMARY OF GROUNDS FOR PROBATION ACTIONS
ORIGINATION DATE: DECEMBER 4, 2013

FORTIS COLLEGE
555 EAST ALEX BELL ROAD
CENTERVILLE, OHIO
School #M000648

Fortis College located in Centerville, Ohio has been placed on Probation by the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges for the reasons summarized below.

Summary of Grounds for Probation:

1. Fortis College must demonstrate that the school has the management and administrative capacity to meet accrediting standards on an on-going basis (*Section I - Statement of Purpose, Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*) and that the school has the appropriate management, administrative capacity, and infrastructure to support the growth in distance education programs (*Section IX (A)(2), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
2. Fortis College must demonstrate compliance with all applicable federal and state government requirements (*Section I (D)(2)(d), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation and Section IV (A)(6) Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
3. Fortis College must demonstrate that the school has received written Commission approval for the two separate facilities referenced in the school's catalog (*Section IV (B)(9) Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
4. Fortis College must demonstrate successful student achievement by reporting acceptable rates of student graduation and graduate employment (*Section VII (B)(1)(b), Appendices VII, Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
5. Fortis College must demonstrate that faculty teaching technical and occupationally related courses in occupational associate degree programs have a minimum of three years of related practical work experience in the subject areas taught (*Section III (B)(6), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
6. Fortis College must demonstrate that the school maintains documentation to show that the school verifies prior work experience for all faculty members (*Section III (A)(4), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
7. Fortis College must demonstrate that the school remains attentive to the students' educational and other needs (*Section IV, Statement of Purpose, Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
8. Fortis College must demonstrate compliance with accrediting standards with regard to Program Advisory Committees (*Section II (A)(5), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).

ACCSC DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION ACTION
SUMMARY OF GROUNDS FOR PROBATION ACTIONS
ORIGINATION DATE: JUNE 28, 2013

PELICAN FLIGHT TRAINING
1601 SW 75th AVENUE
PEMBROKE PINES, FLORIDA 33023
SCHOOL #M070278

On June 28, 2013, the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges continued Pelican Flight Training located in Pembroke Pines, Florida on Probation for the reasons summarized below.

Summary of Grounds for Probation:

1. Pelican Flight Training must demonstrate the school is eligible for ACCSC accreditation and has all necessary authorizations from the Federal Aviation Administration to operate (*Section I (B)(2)(a)(ii), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation*).¹
2. Pelican Flight Training must demonstrate the school has adequate instructional materials and equipment to support the educational objectives of the program (*Section II (A)(4), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
3. Pelican Flight Training must demonstrate that the school is primarily directed toward career-oriented educational objectives and that secondary educational objectives such as programs with avocational objectives do not have greater priority within the school's mission and operations (*Section I (B)(1)(d)(ii), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation*).
4. Pelican Flight Training must demonstrate that the school does not describe in its catalog, advertise, or promote new programs, substantive changes, or degree programs prior to receiving written Commission approval (*Section IV (B)(1)&(9) Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).

¹ The term "state" as used in this section of the *Rules* is defined in *Section I (A)(15), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation* as the governmental entity responsible for the licensure or authorization for the school to operate within that jurisdiction. In this case, the Commission understands that the governmental entity that gives Pelican Flight Training authorization to operate is the Federal Aviation Administration.

ACCSC DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION ACTION
SUMMARY OF GROUNDS FOR PROBATION ACTION
ORIGINATION DATE: SEPTEMBER 7, 2012
CONTINUED PROBATION DATE: MARCH 6, 2013
CONTINUED PROBATION DATE: SEPTEMBER 4, 2013

PRISM CAREER INSTITUTE
6800 MARKET STREET
UPPER DARBY, PENNSYLVANIA 19082
SCHOOL #M009027

At its August 2013 meeting, the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges continued Prism Career Institute located in Upper Darby, Pennsylvania on Probation for the reasons summarized below. The Commission will review the Probation Order and the school's response at its November 2013 meeting.

Summary of Grounds for Probation:

1. Prism Career Institute must demonstrate that the school is in compliance with all applicable federal requirements (*Section I (B)(1)(e)(iii), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation*) including the obligation to demonstrate that the financial structure of the school is sound with resources sufficient for the proper operation of the school and discharge of obligations to students (*Section I (C)(1), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
2. Prism Career Institute must demonstrate that the continuity of management and administrative capacity is ensured through the reasonable retention of management and administrative staff (*Section I (A)(4), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
3. Prism Career Institute must demonstrate successful student achievement by maintaining acceptable rates of student graduation and employment in the career field for which the school provided education (*Section VII (B)(1)(b), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).

ACCSC DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION ACTION
SUMMARY OF GROUNDS FOR PROBATION ACTIONS
ORIGINATION DATE: DECEMBER 7, 2011

PROFESSIONAL MESSAGE TRAINING CENTER
229 E. COMMERCIAL STREET
SPRINGFIELD, MO 65803
SCHOOL #M070395

The Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges (ACCSC) placed Professional Massage Training Center located in Springfield, Missouri on Probation for the reasons summarized below. After a March 7, 2012 Commission Decision revoking accreditation based upon the grounds for probation stated in the December 7, 2011 probation order and a July 11, 2012 Appeals Panel Decision upholding the Commission, PMTC filed an action in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. On September 17, 2012, PMTC obtained a Preliminary Injunction directing the Commission to withdraw the Commission and Appeals Panel decisions, to reinstate PMTC's accreditation, and to refrain from taking further action against PMTC for the duration of the action. On September 19, 2012 ACCSC reinstated PMTC's accreditation subject to the Probation Order dated December 7, 2011. Under the terms of the Court Order, PMTC's probation will not be subject to the normal procedures and ACCSC will take no further actions against PMTC, nor will it request any further action, information or submission from PMTC, under the Probation Order, during the pendency of the Action.

Summary of Grounds for Probation:

1. Professional Massage Training Center must demonstrate that the school maintains full-time on-site supervision by an individual or team that has the demonstrated ability to lead and manage the institution (*Section I (A)(1), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*), must demonstrate that the school maintains appropriate administrative and operational policies and procedures to which the school adheres and reviews and updates as needed (*Section I (A)(1)(d), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*), must demonstrate that the school ensures that members of school management and administrative employees participate in ongoing development and training activities that support their particular roles in the school (*Section I (A)(3), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*), and must demonstrate that institutional assessment and improvement activities are significant and ongoing experiences at the school (*Section I (B)(2), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
2. Professional Massage Training Center must demonstrate that the continuity of management and administrative capacity is ensured through the reasonable retention of management and administrative staff (*Section I (A)(4), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
3. Professional Massage Training Center must demonstrate that the school's learning resource system is managed by qualified school personnel with sufficient experience to provide oversight and supervision (*Section II (A)(6)(c), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*), and must demonstrate that use of the learning resource system materials are integrated into the school's curriculum and program requirements as a mechanism to enhance the educational process and to facilitate positive learning outcomes for students (*Section II (A)(6)(b), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
4. Professional Massage Training Center must demonstrate that the school's faculty have a minimum of three years of related practical work experience in the subject area(s) taught (*Section III (B)(5), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*) and must demonstrate that the school verifies prior work experience and maintains documentation of academic credentials of all faculty members and administrators, as required, to demonstrate compliance with applicable *Standards of Accreditation* (*Section III (A)(4), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).

ACCSC DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION ACTION
SUMMARY OF GROUNDS FOR PROBATION ACTION
ORIGINATION DATE: SEPTEMBER 5, 2013

UPPER LIMIT AVIATION
SCHOOL #M072183
619 N 2360 W
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

At its August 2013 meetings, the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges placed Upper Limit Aviation on Probation for the reasons summarized below. The Commission will review the Probation Order and the school's response at its February 2014 meeting.

Summary of Grounds for Probation:

1. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that secondary educational objectives do not have greater priority within the school's mission and operations than its career-oriented educational objectives (*Section I (B)(1)(d)(ii), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation*).
2. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the school has and enforces an acceptable code of conduct for all school personnel whose primary responsibilities are to engage in recruiting and admissions functions prior to and during admission and matriculation (*Section IV (A)(4), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
3. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the school does not permit personnel whose primary responsibilities include recruiting and admissions activities to become involved in admission testing or admission decisions, including signing and accepting the enrollment agreement (*Section IV (A)(13), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
4. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the school utilizes an enrollment agreement that includes, at a minimum, all required items listed on the ACCSC Enrollment Agreement Checklist (*Section IV (C)(2)(a), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
5. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that members of school management and administrative employees participate in on-going development and training activities that support their particular roles in the school (*Section I (A)(3), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
6. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the school engages in on-going faculty assessment and professional development activities that are appropriate to the size and scope of the school's educational programs; support the quality of education provided; and enhance student learning and achievement (*Section III (A)(2), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
7. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the school maintains written policies and procedures addressing student services (*Section VI (A)(1), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
8. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the use of the Learning Resource System ("LRS") materials are integrated into the school's curriculum and program requirements as a mechanism to enhance the educational process and to facilitate positive learning outcomes for students (*Section II (A)(6)(b), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
9. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the school's LRS is managed by qualified school personnel with sufficient experience to provide oversight and supervision (*Section II (A)(6)(c), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).

10. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that at least one on-site person at the school has appropriate educational administration experience and competence necessary to lead and manage the school's instructional programs and overall educational experience in such areas as curriculum development; educational effectiveness assessment and improvement; and faculty development and performance appraisals (*Section III (A)(1), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
11. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate compliance with Program Advisory Committee ("PAC") standards as follows:
 - The school must demonstrate that written and detailed minutes of each PAC meeting is maintained and include a description of all members in attendance (i.e., titles and affiliations); the location of the meeting; and a comprehensive and clear description of the review of and commentary made by the school representatives and the Program Advisory Committee members (*Section II (A)(5)(d), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
 - The school must demonstrate that the PAC reviews and comments on, at least annually, the established curriculum of the program and comment as to the appropriateness and adequacy of the program objectives, program length, curriculum content, learning resources, and the adequacy of facilities and equipment and the student graduation, graduate employment, and licensing examination outcomes of each program (*Section II (A)(5)(e)(i & ii), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
 - Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the school gives consideration to PAC input (*Section II (A)(5)(f), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
12. Upper Limit Aviation must explain in detail the basis for the school's waiver request regarding faculty qualifications and must provide relevant factual support, including appropriate documentation, for an institutional waiver of *Section III (B)(5), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*. Upper Limit must also be able to demonstrate that the school's reported rates of graduation and employment are supported through verifiable records (*Section VII (B)(1)(b), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
13. Upper Limit Aviation must demonstrate that the financial structure of the school is sound, with resources sufficient for the proper operation of the school and discharge of obligations to its students (*Section I (C)(1), Substantive Standards, Standards of Accreditation*).
14. Upper Limit must pay all fees as required by the Commission (*Section I (D)(2)(e), Rules of Process and Procedure, Standards of Accreditation*).